MAT3220: Operation Research Homework 7

李肖鹏 (116010114)

Due date: April 23, 12 p.m., 2019

Problem 1.

• Formulate the constraint $t \ge ||x||^2$ as a second order cone constraint.

Consider the following relation,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t-1}{2} \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \le \frac{t+1}{2} \iff \frac{(t-1)^2}{4} + \|\vec{x}\|^2 \le \frac{(t+1)^2}{4}$$
$$\iff \|\vec{x}\|^2 \le t$$

Thus, the constraint $t\geq \|x\|^2$ is equivalent to

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t-1}{2} \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \le \frac{t+1}{2} \iff \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t+1}{2} \\ \frac{t-1}{2} \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SOC} (n+2)$$

where n is the dimension of \vec{x} .

• Formulate the constraint $ts \ge ||x||^2$, $t \ge 0$, $s \ge 0$ as a second order cone constraint.

Consider the following relation,

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t-s}{2} \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \le \frac{t+s}{2} \iff \frac{(t-s)^2}{4} + \|\vec{x}\|^2 \le \frac{(t+s)^2}{4}$$
$$\iff \|\vec{x}\|^2 \le ts$$

Thus, the constraint $ts \ge ||x||^2$ is equivalent to

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t-s}{2} \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \le \frac{t+s}{2} \iff \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t+s}{2} \\ \frac{t-s}{2} \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SOC} (n+2)$$

where n is the dimension of \vec{x} .

• Formulate the second order cone constraint $t \ge ||x||$ as an SDP constraint. (*Hint*: Use the Schur complement lemma)

Consider the following relation,

$$t \ge \|x\| \Longleftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} t & \vec{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{x} & tI \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^{\mathrm{T}} \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

The relation is obviously true when t > 0, because if t > 0, then $C \succ 0$, so we can apply Schur complement lemma, which shows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} t & \vec{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{x} & tI \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \Longleftrightarrow t - \vec{x}^{\mathrm{T}} t^{-1} \vec{x} \ge 0 \Longleftrightarrow t \ge \|x\|$$

However, when t = 0, Schur complement lemma cannot be applied, but it suffices to show that $\|\vec{x}\| = 0$ if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \vec{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{x} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$

Take any vector $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\vec{z} = (u_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \vec{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n)^{\mathrm{T}}$, consider

$$\vec{z}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \vec{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{x} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \vec{z} = 2u_0 \vec{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \vec{x} \ge 0$$

Since $u_0 \vec{u}^T \vec{x} \ge 0$ holds for any u_0, \vec{u} , take $u_0 = 1, \vec{u} = -\vec{x}$, we have $\|\vec{x}\| \le 0$, which implies that $\|\vec{x}\| = 0$. Conversely, if $\|\vec{x}\| = 0$, then $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, thus what we need to prove is trivial because zero matrix is always PSD.

If t < 0 then $t \ge \|\vec{x}\|_2$ is not true, and at the same time,

$$\begin{bmatrix} t & \vec{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \vec{x} & tI \end{bmatrix} \prec 0$$

In conclusion, the equivalence is proved.

Problem 2.

• Formulate the following minimization problem as SDP,

$$\min_{x} \quad x^{6} + 2x^{5} + 3x^{4} + 4x^{3} + 5x^{2} + 6x$$

and solve the problem numerically using CVX.

The SDP formulation is as follows,

$$\max_{t} \quad t \\ s.t. \quad -t = Z_{11} \\ 6 = Z_{12} + Z_{21} \\ 5 = Z_{13} + Z_{22} + Z_{31} \\ 4 = Z_{14} + Z_{23} + Z_{32} + Z_{41} \\ 3 = Z_{24} + Z_{33} + Z_{42} \\ 2 = Z_{34} + Z_{43} \\ Z_{44} = 1 \\ Z \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{4 \times 4}$$

The CVX code is as follows,

| 1 | - | cvx_begin sdp |
|----|---|-----------------------------------|
| 2 | - | variables Z(4,4) t; |
| 3 | - | maximize t |
| 4 | - | subject to |
| 5 | - | -t == Z(1,1); |
| 6 | - | 6 == Z(1,2)+Z(2,1); |
| 7 | - | 5 == Z(1,3)+Z(2,2)+Z(3,1); |
| 8 | - | 4 == Z(1,4)+Z(2,3)+Z(3,2)+Z(4,1); |
| 9 | - | 3 == Z(2,4)+Z(3,3)+Z(4,2); |
| 10 | - | $2 \equiv Z(3,4)+Z(4,3);$ |
| 11 | - | Z(4,4) == 1; |
| 12 | - | Z 🚃 semidefinite(4); |
| 13 | - | cvx_end |
| 14 | | |

CVX Code

The optimal value of t is $t^* = -3$, which shows that the minimum value of the original polynomial is -3.

• Formulate the following problem by SOCP,

$$\min_{\overrightarrow{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} 1/(\overrightarrow{a}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \overrightarrow{x} - b_i)$$

s.t. $\|x\| \le 1$

where we assume that $\{\vec{x} \mid \|\vec{x}\| \leq 1\} \subset \{\vec{x} \mid \vec{a}_i^T \vec{x} > b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$

The above problem can be reformulated (by change of variable and relaxation) into

$$\min_{\vec{x}} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i$$

$$s.t. \quad \|\vec{x}\| \le 1$$

$$\vec{a}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \vec{x} - b_i = u_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$u_i \cdot t_i \ge 1 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

For the first constraint, use the first part of Problem 1 with t = 1, we have

$$\|\vec{x}\| \le 1 \iff \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vec{x} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SOC}(n+1)$$

For the second constraint, it is linear, so keep it unchanged. For the third constraint, use the second part of Problem 1 with $\vec{x} = 1$ (the \vec{x} in that part, not the \vec{x} here), we have

$$u_i \cdot t_i \ge 1 \iff \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_i + t_i}{2} \\ \frac{u_i - t_i}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SOC}(3) \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Thus, the SOCP formulation of original problem is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\vec{x},u_i,t_i} & \sum_{i=1}^m t_i \\ s.t. & \vec{a}_i^{\mathrm{T}} \vec{x} - b_i = u_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ & \left(\frac{1}{\vec{x}} \right) \in \mathrm{SOC} \, (n+1), \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u_i + t_i}{2} \\ \frac{u_i - t_i}{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SOC} \, (3) \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m
\end{array}$$