## Additional Exercises: Convexity

1. Why a real symmetric matrix will always have real (as opposed to complex) eigenvalues?
2. Prove the following Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

For any $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
u^{\mathrm{T}} v \leq\|u\|_{2} \cdot\|v\|_{2} .
$$

3. Use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to prove the so-called triangle inequality for the Euclidean norm:

$$
\|x+y\|_{2} \leq\|x\|_{2}+\|y\|_{2}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.
4. For a square matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, its trace is $\operatorname{tr}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i i}$. Prove: For any $X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ and $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times m}$, we have $\operatorname{tr}\left(X Y^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(Y X^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j} Y_{i j}$.
5. Let $X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ be a real matrix. The so-called Frobenius norm of $X$ is defined as

$$
\|X\|_{F}:=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{i j}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and its spectrum norm is defined as $\|X\|_{2}:=\left(\lambda_{\max }\left(X^{\mathrm{T}} X\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$. Prove: Both $\|\cdot\|_{F}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}$ are indeed matrix norms.
6. Prove: For any $X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^{m}$,

$$
\|X y\|_{2} \leq\|X\|_{2} \cdot\|y\|_{2} .
$$

7. Prove: For any $X$, it holds that $\|X\|_{2} \leq\|X\|_{F}$.
8. Compute the gradient of the quartic function

$$
f(x)=\left(x^{\mathrm{T}} A x\right)^{2}
$$

where $A \in \mathcal{S}^{n}$.
9. Compute the Hessian matrix of the quartic function

$$
f(x)=\left(x^{\mathrm{T}} A x\right)^{2}
$$

where $A \in \mathcal{S}^{n}$.
10. Prove: If $h(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable, then $h(x)$ is convex in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is equivalent to $\nabla^{2} h(x) \succeq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.
11. Prove: $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{1 / n}$ is a concave function in $\mathbf{R}_{++}^{n}$.
12. Prove:

$$
\frac{x_{1}^{n}}{x_{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n}}
$$

is a convex function in $\mathbf{R}_{++}^{n}$.
13. Consider $X \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$, and so $X$ has $n$ real eigenvalues as we discussed before. Let them be

$$
\lambda_{1}(X) \geq \lambda_{2}(X) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}(X)
$$

Prove: $\lambda_{1}(X)$ is a convex function.
14. Prove:

$$
\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}\right)
$$

is a convex function.
15. Suppose that $f(x) \geq 0$ is convex for $x \in S$, and $g(x)>0$ is concave for $x \in S$. Prove:

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}
$$

is a quasi-convex function.
16. Show that

$$
\frac{a^{\mathrm{T}} x+b}{c^{\mathrm{T}} x+d}
$$

is quasi-linear in $\left\{x \mid c^{\mathrm{T}} x+d>0\right\}$.
17. Suppose that $f(x) \geq 0$ is convex for $x \in S$, and $g(x)>0$ is concave for $x \in S$. Prove:

$$
\frac{f(x)^{2}}{g(x)}
$$

is a convex function.
18. Prove: $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ is quasi-concave in $\mathbf{R}_{++}^{n}$.
19. Show that $S:=\left\{x \mid\|x-a\|_{2} \leq\|x-b\|_{2}\right\}$ is a convex region. Further prove: $\|x-a\|_{2} /\|x-b\|_{2}$ is quasi-convex in $S$.
20. Prove:

$$
\Phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

is a log-concave function.
21. Suppose $Q \in \mathcal{S}_{++}^{n \times n}$. Prove:

$$
2 x^{\mathrm{T}} y \leq x^{\mathrm{T}} Q x+y^{\mathrm{T}} Q^{-1} y
$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$.
22. Suppose $0<p<1$. Show that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

is a concave function in $\mathbf{R}_{++}^{n}$.
23. If $f(x)$ is twice continuously differentiable and quasi-convex, then for any $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$ :

$$
d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla f(x)=0 \Longrightarrow d^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla^{2} f(x) d \geq 0
$$

24. Prove: If the above condition holds, then there must exist some real value $\alpha$ such that

$$
\nabla^{2} f(x)+\alpha \nabla f(x)(\nabla f(x))^{\mathrm{T}} \succeq 0
$$

[The Hessian matrix of a quasi-convex function can have at most one negative eigenvalue!]
25. For $X \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}$, its eigenvalues are denoted to be

$$
\lambda_{1}(X) \geq \lambda_{2}(X) \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1}(X) \geq \lambda_{n}(X)
$$

Let $1 \leq k \leq n$. Consider

$$
f(X):=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}(X)
$$

Prove: $f(X)$ is a convex function.
Hint: Show that

$$
f(X)=\sup \left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(U^{\mathrm{T}} X U\right) \mid U \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times k}, U^{\mathrm{T}} U=I_{k}\right\}
$$

26. A function $f: \mathbf{R}_{++}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$
h(x)=c x_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} x_{2}^{\lambda_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{\lambda_{n}}
$$

with $c>0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is called a monomial. Sum of monomials, $f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} h_{i}(x)$, is called a posynomial.

The so-called geometric programming problem is as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(G) & \min & f_{0}(x) \\
& \text { s.t. } & f_{i}(x) \leq 1, i=1,2, \ldots, m \\
& h_{j}(x)=1, j=1,2, \ldots, p
\end{array}
$$

where $f_{i}(x)$ are posynomials $(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$, and $h_{j}(x)$ are monomials $(j=1,2, \ldots, p)$.
Show that $(G)$ can be formulated as convex optimization through a variable transformation.
27. Formulate the following $L_{4}$-norm approximation problem as QCQP:

$$
\min \|A x-b\|_{4}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(a_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} x-b_{i}\right)^{4}\right)^{1 / 4}
$$

28. The so-called Chebyshev center of a polyhedron is the deepest point inside the polyhedron. Suppose that the polyhedron is given by $P=\left\{x \mid a_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} x \leq b_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$. Formulate the problem of finding the Chebyshev center of $P$ by a convex optimization model.
29. An ellipsoid may be given by the image of a ball under some linear transformation, e.g. $E=$ $\left\{B u+b \mid\|u\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}$. Without losing generality we can also assume $B \succ 0$. Then the volume of $E$ is proportional to $\operatorname{det} B$.

Consider again the polyhedron $P=\left\{x \mid a_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} x \leq b_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$. Now the problem is to find the maximum volume ellipsoid inscribed inside $P$. Formulate the problem by convex optimization.
30. Let $A_{i} \in \mathcal{S}^{n \times n}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$. Therefore, $A_{0}+x_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} A_{m}$ is a symmetric matrix. We wish to find the values of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ so as to minimize the gap between the largest and the smallest eigenvalues of $A_{0}+x_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} A_{m}$. Formulate this problem by SDP.
31. Let

$$
\mathcal{K}:=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \mid x_{1} \geq x_{2} \geq \cdots \geq x_{n} \geq 0\right\}
$$

Show that $\mathcal{K}$ is a proper cone.
32. Find $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\mathcal{K}=A \mathbf{R}_{+}^{n}$.
33. In general, if $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a proper cone, and $M \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is a non-singular matrix, then $M \mathcal{K}$ is also a proper cone.
34. Compute $(M \mathcal{K})^{*}$.
35. Derive the dual of the following non-standard conic optimization problem:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\min & c^{\mathrm{T}} x \\
\text { s.t. } & A_{1} x+b_{1} \in \mathcal{K}_{1} \\
& A_{2} x+b_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
& A_{m} x+b_{m} \in \mathcal{K}_{m},
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{1}, \mathcal{K}_{2}, \cdots, \mathcal{K}_{m}$ are all closed convex cones.
36. Suppose that $f(x)$ is a convex function, and its conjugate function is known to be $f^{*}(s)$. Consider the following optimization model

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min & f(x) \\
\text { s.t. } & A x \leq b .
\end{array}
$$

Derive the Lagrangian dual of the above problem.
37. The channel capacity optimization problem is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min & -c^{\mathrm{T}} x+\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} \ln y_{i} \\
\text { s.t. } & P x=y \\
& x \geq 0, \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} x=1 .
\end{array}
$$

What is the dual of the above problem?
38. The sum of first $k$ largest components of vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}(k<n)$ is known to be a convex function. (Why?) Denote this function to be $f(x)$. Formulate the following portfolio selection problem using $f(x)$ : We wish to select from a total of $n$ assets to form a portfolio (no shortselling is allowed). Asset $i$ has an expected rate of return $\mu_{i}>0$, and the covariance matrix is $\Sigma$. We wish to minimize the variance of the portfolio while requiring that the expected rate of return to the portfolio is at least $\mu$. Moreover, the weight of the first $k$ largest components of investment should not exceed half of the total investment.
39. The condition that $f(x) \leq 0.5$ can be formulated by linear programming. How?

Solutions (Convexity)

1. Let $A x=\lambda x$. Then, $x^{H} A x=\lambda x^{H} x$

$$
\Rightarrow \overline{\left(x^{H} A x\right)}=\bar{\lambda} \cdot x^{H} x=x^{H} A^{H} x=x^{H} A x=\lambda x^{H} x
$$

$\Rightarrow \bar{\lambda}=\lambda$ : a real value.
2. $\forall$ real value $t: 0 \leqslant\|u+t \cdot v\|_{2}^{2}=\|u\|_{2}^{2}+2 t \cdot u^{\top} v+t^{2} \cdot\|v\|_{2}^{2}$
$\Rightarrow$ Its discreminant $\Delta=\left(u^{\top} v\right)^{2}-\|u\|_{2}^{2} \cdot\|v\|_{2}^{2} \leq 0$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad\left|u^{\top} v\right| \leqslant\|u\|_{2} \cdot\|v\|_{2} .
$$

3. $\|x+y\|_{2}^{2}=\|x\|_{2}^{2}+2 x^{\top} y+\|y\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant\|x\|_{2}^{2}+2\|x\|_{2} \cdot\|y\|_{2}+\|y\|_{2}^{2}$

$$
=\left(\|x\|_{2}+\|y\|_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow\|x+y\|_{2} \leqslant\|x\|_{2}+\|y\|_{2} .
$$

4. $\operatorname{tr}\left(X Y^{\top}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{j i} Y_{j i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} Y_{i j} X_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(Y X^{\top}\right)$
5. Let the SVD (Singular value Decomposition) of $X$ be

$$
X=U \cdot \Sigma \cdot v^{\top}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} u_{i} \cdot v_{i}^{\top}
$$

Where $u_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, v_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and they are orthonormal in their own domain.
We have $\|X\|_{F}^{2}=\operatorname{tr}\left(X X^{\top}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}$ and $\|X\|_{2}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq r} \sigma_{i}$.

Let us verify that the triangle inequality is satisfied by these maTrix norms. (The other two definitions of a norm, i.e., $\|x\|=0 \Leftrightarrow x=0 ;\|t x\|=1 t \mid \cdot\|x\|$, are Trivial to verify).

That $\|X\|_{F}$ is a norm follows from the fact that $\|X\|_{F}$ is the Eudidean norm on the maTrix $X$ as a vector.

To verify that $\|x\|_{2}$ is a matrix norm, we proceed to the next question first.
6. Suppose $X^{\top} X F=\lambda_{\text {max }} \cdot v$ where $\lambda_{\max }$ is the eigenvalue with largest absolute value. Then, $v^{\top} X^{\top} X v=\lambda_{\max } v^{\top} v$.

On the other hand, $\quad y^{\top} x^{\top} x y \leq \lambda_{\max } \cdot y^{\top} y=\|x\|_{2}^{2} \cdot y^{\top} y$.
Therefore, $\max _{\|y\|_{2}=1}\|x y\|_{2}=\|x\|_{2}$.
Going back to Question 5: $\|X+Y\|_{2}=\max \|(X+Y) \cdot v\|_{2}$

$$
\leqslant \max _{\|v\|_{2}=1}\|X \cdot v\|_{2}+\max _{\|v\|_{2}=1}\|Y v\|_{2}=\|X\|_{2}+\|Y\|_{2} .
$$

The triangle inequality is shown.
7. $\|x\|_{2}=\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} \sigma_{i} \leqslant\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\|X\|_{F}$.
8. $\nabla\left(\left(x^{\top} A x\right)^{2}\right)=2 \cdot\left(x^{\top} A x\right) \cdot \nabla\left(x^{\top} A x\right)=4 \cdot\left(x^{\top} A x\right) \cdot A x$.
9. $\nabla^{2}\left(\left(x^{\top} A x\right)^{2}\right)=8 A x \cdot(A x)^{\top}+4 \cdot\left(x^{\top} A x\right) \cdot A$.
10. $h(x)$ is convex \& smooth $\Longleftrightarrow h(y) \geq h(x)+\nabla h(x)^{\top} \cdot(y-x)$

$$
\forall x, y
$$

If $\nabla^{2} h(z) \succcurlyeq 0 \quad \forall z$, then by the Taylor expression

$$
h(y)-h(x)-\nabla h(x)^{\top} \cdot(y-x)=\frac{1}{2}(y-x)^{\top} \cdot \nabla^{2} f(z) \cdot(y-x) \succcurlyeq 0
$$

where $z$ is between $x$ and $y$.
On the oither hand, if $h(y) \geqslant h(x)+\nabla h(x)^{\top}(y-x) \quad \forall x, y$, then one may choose $y=x+\Delta x$ to derive

$$
\Delta x^{\top} \cdot \nabla^{2} f(z) \cdot \Delta x \geq 0
$$

Because $\Delta x$ can be chosen arbitrarily, we have $\nabla^{2} f(x) \cong 0$.
11 Let $f(x)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}=e^{\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln x_{i}\right)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla f(x) & =e^{\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_{1}\right)} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{n x_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{n}
\end{array}\right) \\
\nabla^{2} f(x) & \left.=e^{\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_{i}\right)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{n_{n}} \\
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{1}{x_{1}} \cdots \frac{1}{x_{n}}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{x_{1}^{2}} & 0 \\
\cdots & \frac{1}{x_{n}^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
\xi^{\top} \nabla^{2} f(x) \xi & =e^{\frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_{i}\right)} \cdot\left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\xi_{i}}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\xi_{i}^{2}}{x_{i}^{2}}\right] \leqslant 0 \quad \text { Canchy-Schwarzit }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow f$ is concave.

12 Let $f(x)=\frac{x_{1}^{n}}{x_{2} \cdots x_{n}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln f(x)=n \cdot \ln x_{1}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} \ln x_{i}=: g(x) \\
& \nabla g(x)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n}{x_{1}} \\
-\frac{1}{x_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
-\frac{1}{x_{n}}
\end{array}\right), \quad \nabla^{2} g(x)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{n}{x_{1}^{2}} & & \\
& \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2}} & 0 \\
& 0 & \ddots \\
\frac{1}{x_{n}^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $f(x)=e^{g(x)}$,
$\nabla f(x)=f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x), \quad \nabla^{2} f(x)=f(x) \cdot \nabla^{2} g(x)+f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x) \cdot \nabla g(x)^{\top}$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Rightarrow r^{2} f(x)=f(x) \cdot\left[\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
-\frac{n}{x_{1}^{2}} & & & & \\
& \frac{1}{x_{2}^{2}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \frac{1}{x_{n}^{2}}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{n}{x_{1}} \\
-\frac{1}{x_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
-\frac{1}{x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\frac{n}{x_{1}}\right.\right.
\end{array}-\frac{1}{x_{2}} \cdots \cdots-\frac{1}{x_{n}}\right)\right]
$$

Take any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\xi^{\top} \nabla^{2} f(x) \xi=f(x) \cdot\left[-n \cdot\left(\frac{\xi}{x_{1}}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{m}\left(\frac{\xi_{i}}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}+\left(n \cdot \frac{\xi_{1}}{x_{1}}-\sum_{i=2}^{m} \frac{\xi_{i}}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

To show that the above expression is always non-negative, denote $z_{i}=\frac{\xi_{i}}{x_{i}}, i=1, \cdots, M$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -n z_{1}^{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} z_{i}^{2}+\left(n z_{1}-\sum_{i=2}^{n} z_{i}\right)^{2} \\
= & \left(z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}\right)\left[\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-n & & \\
& 1 & \\
& & \ddots \\
& & \\
& & \\
& &
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
n \\
-1 \\
\vdots \\
-1
\end{array}\right)(n,-1, \cdots,-1)\right]\left(\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
\vdots \\
z_{n}
\end{array}\right)=: z^{\top} Q \cdot z .
\end{aligned}
$$

We write $Q$ as a block matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-n & \\
& I_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)+\binom{n}{-\mathbb{1}_{n-1}}\left(n,-\mathbb{1}_{n-1}^{\top}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n^{2}-n & -n \cdot 1_{n-1}^{\top} \\
-n \cdot 1_{n-1} & I_{n-1}+\mathbb{1}_{n-1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{n-1}^{\top}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the so-call Schur Complement Lemma: $Q \succeq 0$

$$
\Leftrightarrow I_{n-1}+1_{n-1} \cdot 1_{n-1}^{\top}-\frac{n^{2}}{n^{2}-n} \cdot 1_{n-1} \cdot I_{n-1}^{\top}=I_{n-1}-\frac{1}{n-1} 1_{n-1} \cdot I_{n-1}^{\top} \leqq 0
$$

But the above matrix inequality is obvious, because $\mathbb{I}_{n-1} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{n-1}^{T}$ has eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity $n-2$ and eigenvalue $n_{1-1}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{1}_{n-1} \cdot 1_{n-1}^{\top} \leqslant(n-1) \cdot I_{n-1}$.
13. We use the fact that if $f(x ; a)$ is a convex function in $x$ for any fixed $a$, then $\max _{a \in A} f(x ; a)$ remains a convex function in $x$.
Because $\quad \lambda_{1}(x)=\max _{\|v\|_{2}=1} \frac{v^{\top} X v}{\|v\|_{2}^{2}}$ and $\frac{v^{\top} X v}{\|v\|_{2}^{2}}$ is a linear function in $X$ for fixed $v$, so $\lambda_{1}(x)$ is a convex function in $X$.
14. Let $f(x)=\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla f(x)=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
e^{x_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
e^{x_{n}}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& \nabla^{2} f(x)=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{x_{1}} & \\
& \ddots \\
0 & \\
0 & e^{x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)-\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\left.x_{i}\right)^{2}}\right.}\left(\begin{array}{c}
e^{x_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
e^{x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)\left(e^{x_{1}} \ldots e^{x_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Take any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{\top} \nabla^{\prime} f(x) \xi & =\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i}^{2} \cdot e^{x_{i}}\right)-\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}\right)^{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i} e^{x_{i}}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}\right)^{2}}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{x_{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2} e^{x_{i}}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} e^{x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the Canchy-Schwarz inequality:
$\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { let } a_{i}:=\xi_{i} e^{\frac{x_{i}}{2}}, b_{i}:=e^{\frac{x_{i}}{2}} \\ \text { Then }\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i}\right)^{2} \leqslant\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right) .\end{array}\right]$
The convexity of $f(x)$ follows, since $\nabla f(x) \approx 0$ as we proved above.
15. The level set of $\frac{f}{g}$ is:

$$
L_{\lambda}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)=\left\{x \left\lvert\, \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq \lambda\right.\right\}=\{x \mid f(x)-\lambda \cdot g(x) \leq 0\}
$$

Since $f(x)-\lambda g(x)$ is convex, we know that
$L_{\lambda}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)$ is a convex set. Hence, $\frac{f}{g}$ is quasi-convex.
16. $\frac{a^{\top} x+b}{c^{\top} x+d}$ is both quasi-convex and quasi-concave (hence quasi-linear) because a linear function is both convex and concave.
17. It is easy to verify that: if $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is convex, and $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is increasing in $x_{1}$ for fixed $x_{2}$, and $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is decreasing in $x_{2}$ for fixed $x_{1}$, then $F(f(x), g(x))$ is convex if $f$ is convex and $g(x)$ is concave. This is because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) g) \leq \lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) f(y) \& g(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \geq \lambda g(x)+C(1) \mid g(y) \\
\Rightarrow & F(f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y), g(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y)) \leq F(\lambda f(x)+(1-\lambda) \text { gig (y), } \lambda g(x)+(1-\lambda) g(y)) \\
\leqslant & \text { convex; } \sqrt{y} \text { of } F \\
& \lambda F(f(x), g(x))+(1-\lambda) F(f(y), g(y)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we use what we proved in Question 12:
$\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}}$ is convex in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)>0$.
We have $\frac{f^{2}(x)}{g(x)}$ is a convex function.
18. $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_{i}}$

$$
\Rightarrow\left\{x \mid x>0, \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \geqslant t\right\}=\left\{x \mid x>0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln x_{i} \geqslant \ln t\right\}
$$

which is a convex set for all $t>0$. Therefore, $\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$ is quasi-coneave for $x>0$.
19. $\left\{x \mid\|x-a\|_{2}^{*} \leqslant\|x-b\|_{2}\right\}=\left\{x \mid x^{\top} x-2 a^{\top} x+a^{\top} a \leqslant x^{\top} x-2 b^{\top} x+l^{\top} b\right\}$ $=\left\{x \mid 2(b-a)^{\top} x \leqslant b^{\top} b-a^{\top} a\right\}$ which is a half-sprace,
hence a convex set.
20. We want to show: $\ln \left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t\right)=f(x)$ is a concave function.
We have: $f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}}{\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t}$

$$
\Rightarrow f^{\prime \prime}(x)=-\frac{e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} \cdot x}{\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t}-\frac{\left(e^{-x^{2} / 2}\right)^{2}}{\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t\right)^{2}}<0
$$

21. For any positive definite matrix $Q$ bo, there is a unique posiTive maTrix $Q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot Q^{\frac{1}{2}}=Q$ and $Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \succ 0$.
Therefore, $0 \leqslant\left\|Q^{\frac{1}{2}} x-Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} y\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant x^{\top} Q x-2 x^{\top} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} y+y^{\top} Q^{-1} y$

$$
=x^{\top} Q x+y^{\top} Q^{-1} y-2 x^{\top} y
$$

22. Let $f(x)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla f(x)=\frac{1}{p}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
p \cdot x_{1}^{p-1} \\
\vdots \\
p \cdot x_{n}^{p-1}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{p-1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}^{p-1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \nabla^{2} f(x)=\frac{1-p}{p} \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-2 p}{p}} \cdot p \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{p-1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}^{p-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(x_{1}^{p-1}, \cdots, x_{n}^{p-1}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \cdot(p-1) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{p-2} \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
x_{n}^{p-2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Take any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\xi^{\top} \nabla^{2} f(x) \xi=(p-1) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1-2 p}{p}} \cdot\left[-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} \cdot x_{i}^{p-1}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2} \cdot x_{i}^{p-2}\right)\right]
$$

Denote $a_{i}=x_{i}^{\frac{p}{2}}, b_{i}=\xi_{i} \cdot x_{i}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$. Wee have

$$
-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} x_{i}^{p-1}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{p}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i}^{2} x_{i}^{p-2}\right)=-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

Canchy-Schwarz inequality!
Therefore $\xi^{\top} \nabla \nabla^{2}(x) \xi \leq 0 \quad$ (notice $\left.p<1\right) \quad \forall \xi$, and so $f$ is concave.
23. Suppose, by contradiction, that $d^{\top} \nabla f(x)=0$ and $d^{\top} \nabla f(x) d<0$. Consider $\quad h(t)=f(x+t d)$. We have $h^{\prime}(t)=p f(x+t d)^{\top} d$ and $h^{\prime \prime}(t)=d^{\top} \nabla^{2} f(x+t d) d$.
In this case, $h^{\prime}(0)=0$ and $h^{\prime \prime}(0)<0$. Therefore 0 is a local maximum for $h(t)$, for $t \in(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \quad(\varepsilon>0)$.
So, there is $t>0$ such that $h(t)<h(0) \& h(-t)<h(0)$. Then, $f(x)>\max \{f(x+t d), f(x-t d)\}$, which is a contradiction to the definition of quasi-convexity.
24. This is basically a linear algebra exercise.

It says that if there is a real-symmetric matrix $Q$ and a real-vector $v$, in such a way that

$$
\forall d: \quad d^{\top} v=0 \Rightarrow d^{\top} Q d \geqslant 0
$$

then there must exist a value $t$ such that $Q+t v v^{\top} \equiv 0$.
Let us first prove this fact in a slightly stronger form:

$$
\forall d \neq 0: d^{\top} v=0 \Rightarrow d^{\top} Q d>0
$$

then there exists $t$ such that $Q+t v v^{\top} \geqslant 0$.
To see this, consider $\tau:=\max _{\substack{v^{\top} d=0 \\ d \neq 0}} \frac{\left(v^{\top} Q d\right)^{2}}{d^{\top} Q d}>0$.
Choose $t$ such that $t w^{\top} v+v^{\top} Q v \geqslant \tau$.
Since any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be written as $x=\alpha \cdot v+\beta \cdot d$ with $v^{\top} d=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\top}\left(Q+t \cdot v v^{\top}\right) x=(\alpha v+\beta d)^{\top}\left(Q+t v v^{\top}\right)(\alpha v+\beta d) \\
= & \alpha^{2}\left(v^{\top} Q v+t \cdot v^{\top} v\right)+2 \alpha \beta \cdot v^{\top} Q d+\beta^{2} d^{\top} Q d \\
\geqslant & \tau \cdot \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha \beta \cdot v^{\top} Q d+\beta^{2} \cdot d^{\top} Q d
\end{aligned}
$$

The discreminant of the above quadratic form is

$$
\Delta=\left(v^{\top} Q d\right)^{2}-\tau \cdot d^{\top} Q d \leq 0
$$

Therefore, $\quad \tau \cdot \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha \beta v^{\top} Q d+\beta^{2} d^{\top} Q d \geqslant 0$

24 (continued) Therefore $Q+t \cdot v v^{\top} \cong 0$.

We may choose $Q$ to be $Q+\varepsilon$ I with $\varepsilon>0$.
Then, $\quad Q+\varepsilon \cdot I+t_{\varepsilon} \cdot v \cdot v^{\top} \geq 0 \quad \forall \varepsilon>0$.
That is, the smallest eigenvalue of $Q+t_{\varepsilon} \cdot U \cdot v^{\top}$ is at most $-\varepsilon$. This also implies that $Q$ has only one negative eigenvalue with eigenvector $v$. Hence, there is a value $t^{*}$, in such a way that $\forall t \geqslant t^{*}$ we have $Q+t u \cdot v^{\top} \succcurlyeq 0$.
25. Let $X=Q^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{llll}\lambda_{1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_{\mu}\end{array}\right) Q$ where $Q^{\top} Q=I$.

Take any $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ with $U^{\top} \cdot U=I_{k}$. Let $\tilde{U}=Q U$.
We have $\tilde{u}^{\top} \widetilde{u}=I_{k}$, and so

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\top} \times u\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{u}^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\lambda_{1} & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & \lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right) \tilde{u}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\lambda_{1} & & \\
& & \lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right) \cdot \tilde{u} \cdot \tilde{u}^{\top}\right]
$$

$=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \cdot \mu_{i}^{2}$, where $\mu_{i}$ is the norm of the ith row of $\tilde{u}$. We have that $\mu_{i}^{2} \leq 1 \forall i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}^{2}=k$. Therefore, $\quad \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\top} X u\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mu_{i}^{2} \leqslant \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}$.
On the otter hand, we can choose $u$ to be the $k$ eigenvectors of $X$, and then $\operatorname{tr}\left(U^{\top} X U\right)=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{k}$.

25 (Continued.) Therefore, we have shown

$$
f(x)=\max \left\{\operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\top} x u\right) \mid u \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}, u^{\top} u=I_{k}\right\}
$$

For any fixed $u, \operatorname{tr}\left(u^{\top} x u\right)$ is a linear function in $x$, hence convex. Therefore, $f(x)$ is a convex function in $X$.
26. Let us introduce a variable transformation

$$
x_{i}:=e^{y_{i}}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n
$$

The monomial $\quad h(x)=c \cdot x_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\lambda_{n}}=c \cdot e^{\lambda_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} y_{n}}$. The constraint $h_{j}(x)=\$$ becomes $\lambda_{j i} y_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{j n} y_{n}=c_{j}$ and the constraint $f_{i}(x) \leq 1$ becomes

$$
\sum_{i} c_{i} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i j} y_{j}} \leqslant 1 \Rightarrow \ln \left(\sum_{i} c_{i} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i j} y_{j}}\right) \leqslant 0
$$

According to Exercise 14, we know that

$$
\ln \left(\sum_{i} c_{i} e^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} y_{j}}\right)
$$

is convex. Therefore, after the above transformation, the geometric programming problem becomes convex programming!
27. min $\sum_{i=1}^{m} t_{i}$
s.t. $t_{i} \geq S_{i}^{2}$

$$
s_{i} \geqslant\left(a_{i}^{\top} x-b_{i}\right)^{2}, \quad i=1, \cdots, m
$$

28. Let the point be located at $p$, and the entire Euclidean ball with $p$ as the eenter, radius $t$, is within the polyhedron. That is,

$$
a_{i}^{\top}(p+t \xi) \leq b_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots m, \text { and } \xi \text { is a unit }
$$ vector. This is equivalent to: $\quad a_{i}^{\top} p+t\left\|a_{i}\right\| \leq b_{i}, i=1, i m$.

Therefore, the problem of finding the chebysheu center is:
$\max t$

$$
\text { s.t. } a_{i}^{\top} p+t \cdot\left\|a_{i}\right\| \leqslant b_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots m
$$

where $p$ and $t$ are the decision variables, and the problem is convex optimization.
29. Similar as in Exercise 28, the constraints are:

$$
a_{i}^{T}(B u+b) \leqslant b_{i}, \forall\|u\| \leq 1, \quad \text { which is: }\left\|B a_{i}\right\|+a_{i}^{T} b \leq b_{i} \text {. }
$$

The problem is: $\max \ln (\operatorname{det}(B))$

$$
\text { s.t. }\left\|B a_{i}\right\|+a_{i}^{\top} b \leq b_{i}, \quad i=1, \cdots, m
$$

where the decision variables are: $B, b$.
30.
$\min z-y$

$$
\text { st. } \quad y \cdot I \preccurlyeq A_{0}+x_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+x_{m} A_{m} \leqslant z \cdot I .
$$

31. $K$ is: (1) a convex cone (easy to verify)
(2) $K$ is pointed: If $x \in K \&-x \in K$

$$
\Rightarrow x=0
$$

(3) $K$ is solid:
$\left(\begin{array}{c}n \\ n-1 \\ \vdots \\ 1\end{array}\right)+B \subseteq K$, where $B$ is a unit ball.
32.

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

33. (1) $M K$ is a convex cone;
(2) If $M x \in M K$ and $-M x \in M K$

$$
\Rightarrow x \&-x \in K \Rightarrow x=0 \Rightarrow M 0=0
$$

(3) A solid ball under non-singular linear Transformation becomes a solid ellipsoid.
34.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(M \cdot K)^{*} & =\left\{y \mid x^{\top} M^{\top} y \geqslant 0 \quad \forall x \in K\right\} \\
& =\left\{y \mid M^{\top} y \in K^{*}\right\} \\
& =M^{-\top} \cdot K^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

35. 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max & \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}^{\top} y_{i} \\
\text { s.t. } & A_{1}^{\top} y_{1}+\cdots+A_{m}^{\top} y_{m}+c=0 \\
& y_{i} \in K_{i}^{*}, i=1, \cdots, m .
\end{array}
$$

36. 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\max & -b^{\top} y-f^{*}\left(-A^{\top} y\right) \\
\text { s.t. } & y \geqslant 0
\end{array}
$$

37. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{\lambda_{i}-1}-\lambda_{0} \\
\text { st. } & -c+P^{\top} \lambda+\lambda_{0} \cdot \mathbb{1} \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

38. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min & x^{\top} \Sigma x \\
\text { s.t. } & \mathbb{1}^{\top} x=1, \quad d^{\top} x \geqslant \mu \\
& f(x) \leq 1 / 2, \quad x \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad d^{\top}=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \cdots, \mu_{n}\right)$.
39. The constraint $f(x) \leq 1 / 2$ can be represented by:

$$
x_{i_{1}}+x_{i_{2}}+\cdots+x_{i_{k}} \leq 1 / 2
$$

for all $1 \leqslant i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}<\cdots<i_{k} \leqslant n$, which is certainly polyhedral, but it involves $\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n!\text { (linear }}{k!\cdot(n-k)!}$ constraints.

